

CHATHAM COUNTY-SAVANNAH

METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION

Planning the Future - Respecting the Past

Arthur A. Mendonsa Hearing Room September 17, 2013 1:30 P.M. MINUTES

September 17, 2013 Regular MPC Meeting

Members Present: J. Adam Ragsdale, Chairman

Ellis Cook, Secretary
Tanya Milton, Treasurer
James Blackburn, Jr.
Stephanie Cutter
Lacy Manigault
Murray Marshall
Susan Myers

W. James Overton Joseph Welch

Members Not Present: W. Shedrick Coleman, Vice-Chairman

Russ Abolt Ben Farmer Timothy Mackey

Staff Present: Thomas Thomson, P.E. AICP, Executive Director

Melony West, CPA, Director, Finance & Systems Gary Plumbley, Acting Development Director Marcus Lotson, Development Services Planner Christy Adams, Director, Administration Bri Finau, Administrative Assistant

Jack Butler, Comprehensive Planner

Advisory Staff Present: Robert Sebek, County Zoning Administrator

Geoff Goins, City Zoning Administrator

I. CALL TO ORDER AND WELCOME

II. INVOCATION

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

IV. NOTICES, PROCLAMATIONS and ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Notice(s)

- 1. September 17, 2013 (9:45 a.m. meet at MPC) Tour of Truman Parkway Phase V
- 2. October 8, 2013 Regular MPC Meeting at 1:30 P.M. in the Arthur A. Mendonsa Hearing Room, 112 E. State Street.

Acknowledgement(s)

3. Introduction of SSU Interns - Thomas Thomson

Mr. Thomson introduced Muke Kawasha and Emily Smith as graduate students in the Masters of Public Administration Program.

Ms. Smith stated she is writing her thesis. She stated she would like to be in planning or become a city manager.

Ms. Kawasha stated she will be graduating in December.

Information Item(s) for Board Members

4. Reading of Development Plans Submitted for Review

Attachment: Development Review Log SEPTEMBER 17.pdf

V. PRESENTATIONS

VI. ITEM(S) REQUESTED TO BE REMOVED FROM THE FINAL AGENDA

Zoning Petition - Map Amendment

5. Map Amendment - 704 Abercorn - RIP-A to RIP-D (Medium-density Residential) File No. 13-004491-ZA

Board Action:

Postpone Item - PASS

Vote Results

Motion: Lacy Manigault Second: Joseph Welch

Russ Abolt - Not Present

James Blackburn Jr. - Aye

Shedrick Coleman	- Not Present
Ellis Cook	- Aye
Stephanie Cutter	- Aye
Ben Farmer	- Not Present
Timothy Mackey	- Not Present
Lacy Manigault	- Aye
Murray Marshall	- Aye
Tanya Milton	- Aye
Susan Myers	- Aye
James Overton	- Aye
Adam Ragsdale	- Aye
Joseph Welch	- Aye

6. Map Amendment - 5414 Skidaway Road - R-6 (Single Family Residential to B-N (Neighborhood - Business) MPC File No. 13-004485-ZA

Board Action:	
Postpone Item	- PASS
Vote Results	
Motion: Ellis Cook	
Second: Tanya Milton	
Russ Abolt	- Not Present
James Blackburn Jr.	- Aye
Shedrick Coleman	- Not Present
Ellis Cook	- Aye
Stephanie Cutter	- Aye
Ben Farmer	- Not Present
Timothy Mackey	- Not Present
Lacy Manigault	- Aye
Murray Marshall	- Aye
Tanya Milton	- Aye
Susan Myers	- Aye
James Overton	- Aye
Adam Ragsdale	- Aye
Joseph Welch	- Aye

The Consent Agenda consists of items for which the applicant is in agreement with the staff recommendation and for which no known objections have been identified nor anticipated by staff. Any objections raised at the meeting will result in the item being moved to the Regular Agenda. At a 12:30 briefing, the staff will brief the Commission on Consent Agenda items and, time permitting, Regular Agenda items. No testimony will be taken from applicants, supporters or opponents, and no votes will be taken at the briefing.

VII. CONSENT AGENDA

Approval of MPC Meeting Minutes and Briefing Minutes

7. August 27, 2013 MPC Meeting and Briefing Minutes

Attachment: 08.27.13 MEETING MINUTES.pdf
Attachment: 08.27.13 MPC BRIEFING MINUTES.pdf

Board Action:

Recommend <u>APPROVAL</u> of the MPC Meeting - PASS

and Briefing Minutes as submitted.

Vote Results

Motion: Ellis Cook Second: Tanya Milton

Russ Abolt - Not Present

James Blackburn Jr. - Nay

Shedrick Coleman - Not Present

Ellis Cook - Aye Stephanie Cutter - Aye

Ben Farmer - Not Present
Timothy Mackey - Not Present

Lacy Manigault- AyeMurray Marshall- AyeTanya Milton- AyeSusan Myers- AyeJames Overton- AyeAdam Ragsdale- AyeJoseph Welch- Aye

Authorization(s)

8. <u>Authorize the Execute Director to execute the I-16 Interchange IMR Consultant</u> Contract with Jacobs, Inc.

Attachment: <u>Thomson Planning Commission Authorize the Executive Director</u> to execute the I-16 Interchange Modification Report 091213.pdf

Board Action:

Authorize Executive Director to execute contract as written. - PASS

Vote Results

Motion: Ellis Cook Second: Tanya Milton

Russ Abolt - Not Present

James Blackburn Jr.	- Nay
Shedrick Coleman	- Not Present
Ellis Cook	- Aye
Stephanie Cutter	- Aye
Ben Farmer	- Not Present
Timothy Mackey	- Not Present
Lacy Manigault	- Aye
Murray Marshall	- Aye
Tanya Milton	- Aye
Susan Myers	- Aye
James Overton	- Aye
Adam Ragsdale	- Aye
Joseph Welch	- Aye

VIII. ITEMS MOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA

IX. OLD BUSINESS

Zoning Petition - Map Amendment

9. Zoning Map Amendment - 1016 E. Anderson Street - R-4 to RIP-B

Attachment: Maps.pdf
Attachment: Pictures.pdf
Attachment: Staff Report.pdf

Zoning Map Amendment 1016 East Anderson Street PIN 2-0055-06-008 Acreage: 0.16 Acres Aldermanic District: 4

County Commission District: 2

Zoning District: R-4

MPC File Number: 13-002261-ZA Agent/Owner/Applicant: Lenell DuFour

Mr. Gary Plumbley, MPC Project Planner, presented the petitioner's request for consideration of a zoning map amendment for the property located at 1016 East Anderson Street. The subject site is presently zoned R-4 (Four Family Residential) and the petitioner is requesting that the site be rezoned to an RIP-B (Residential Medium Density) Classification for the purpose of establishing artist studios with an art gallery. Staff believes it should remain R-4 and requests the petition be denied.

Ms. Lenell DuFour stated she attended the public meeting. She stated she did not have an opportunity to poll the neighboring citizens at the meeting. She stated she polled the residents after the meeting on her street; two were neutral, six stated they would support her project.

Ms. Mary Osborne, City Councilwoman, stated six years of planning were spent with the residents for the area with R-4 as the designation of choice. She is in agreement with staff's recommendation.

Ms. Cynthia Kennedy, president of the Eastside Concerned Citizens Neighborhood Association, stated each presenter is allowed 5 minutes to speak. She stated the neighborhood is still in opposition of a business in the interior of the residential part of the neighborhood; it is contrary to the plan.

Ms. Diane Williamson, citizen, stated she is supportive of the petitioner's request. She stated she supports commercial business in the neighborhood and the Waters Avenue Development Project.

Ms. Kerry Collins, area resident, stated she is not supportive of the petitioner's request. The petitioner's house is right behind her house.

Ms. DuFour replied that Ms. Collins used to run a group house out of the same house that is the subject of the petition. She stated commercial property is next to Ms. Collins property. The only option she prefers from Mr. Goins is B-N because there is an opinion that she will turn the property into a rooming house. That designation will protect that from happening.

Board Action:

The MPC staff recommends <u>denial</u> of the petitioner's request to rezone the subject site from an R-4 classification to an RIP-B classification based on the findings identified in the Staff Report.

Vote Results

Motion: Susan Myers Second: Joseph Welch

Ellis Cook - Nay Stephanie Cutter - Aye

Ben Farmer - Not Present
Timothy Mackey - Not Present

Lacy Manigault- AyeMurray Marshall- NayTanya Milton- AyeSusan Myers- AyeJames Overton- AyeAdam Ragsdale- AyeJoseph Welch- Aye

Russ Abolt - Not Present

James Blackburn Jr. - Aye

Shedrick Coleman - Not Present

Zoning Petition - Staff Text Amendment

10. Text Amendment to add Use 29, Assembly Hall in the RIP-A, RIP-B, RIP-B-1, RIP-C & RIP-D districts & to define the use | Savannah Zoning Ordinance, various sections | 13-002021-ZA

Attachment: Assembly Hall - Map of affected districts-downtown.pdf

Attachment: Assembly Hall - Map of affected districts.pdf

Attachment: 13-002021-ZA Assembly Hall Staff Report - Sept 17amended.pdf

MPC File No. 13-002021-ZA

Ms. Amanda Bunce, MPC Project Planner, presented the petitioner's request to add Use 29 Assembly Hall to the C & R Use Schedule and to allow it as a permitted use in the RIP-B (Residential-Institutional-Professional, medium density) zoning district. The petitioner is also proposing several use conditions to address noise.

At its July 16, 2013 meeting, the Planning Commission voted to continue the public meeting on this text amendment to allow MPC staff to investigate options such as including the RIP-A zoning district and allowing the Assembly Hall use only with Zoning Board of Appeals use approval.

Therefore, MPC staff is recommending the following amendments that more comprehensively address the issue at hand:

- Move the description of "Assembly Hall" from the B & I Districts and Victorian Use Schedules and define the use in the Definitions section;
- Add the "Assembly Hall" use to the C & R Districts Use Schedule and allow it as a use requiring Zoning Board of Appeals use approval with use conditions in the RIP-A, RIP-B and RIP-D zoning districts and as a "byright" use in the RIP-B-1 and RIP-C zoning districts with no use conditions.

The MPC staff recommends approval of the text amendments to Article A Generally, Section 8-3002 Definitions and Article B Zoning Districts, Sections 8-3025(a) C & R Districts Use Schedule, 8-3025(b) B & I Districts Use Schedule and Sec. 8-3028(d) Victorian District Permitted Uses.

Ms. Bunce stated this use is already occurring often in the downtown area although not permitted. A focus group of operators requested least restriction as possible. A general meeting for the public was held as well. The end time is 10 p.m.; ZBA can modify that. The amendment is necessary for current and future operators for ZBA use approval.

Ms. Myers stated she wants the time limit with the requirement of no amplified music included. She stated she is aware that it is in the Noise Ordinance, but it does not work.

Ms. Bunce and **Mr. Goins** stated it is prohibited; the ZBA cannot extend the time but may further limit it. It is a matter of enforcement.

Ms. Bunce stated the use has never been proposed to be removed from where it is currently permitted; only clarification of definition. The operational character is similar in use between assembly halls, banquet halls, and reception halls which makes the uses virtually parallel.

Mr. Blackburn, Jr. disagreed. He stated he believes more study needs to be done on this issue. There is not an easy definition between them; it is almost a difference in the number of people.

Mr. Manigault stated enforcement is the issue.

Ms. Bunce stated it is a complaint driven issue. Those who may be impacted were notified, but only half responded. The limitation of six was to provide opportunity for occasional use for properties that do not use it as a principle use.

Mr. Goins stated a permit use could be instituted.

Ms. Myers stated destination weddings are growing in our area.

Ms. Dolly Chisholm, petitioner, stated her organization has come to agreement with the neighbors.

Mr. Dana Braun, representative of three area residents, stated they are in agreement with staff. He stated they have reached an agreement with the Harper-Fowlkes House.

Ms. Cutter stated it is the responsibility of the City to protect the quality of life of the neighboring residents. She and Mr. Goins are working on this issue.

Mr. Goins stated the additional restrictions between the two parties cannot be enforced unless the ZBA grants them as conditions of approval.

Board Action:

The MPC staff recommends approval of the text amendments to Article A Generally, Section 8-3002 Definitions and Article B Zoning Districts, Sections 8-3025(a) C & R Districts Use Schedule, 8-3025(b) B & I Districts Use Schedule and Sec. 8-3028(d) Victorian District Permitted Uses.

Vote Results

Motion: Susan Myers Second: Ellis Cook

Russ Abolt	- Not Present
James Blackburn Jr.	- Nay
Shedrick Coleman	- Not Present
Ellis Cook	- Aye
Stephanie Cutter	- Nay
Ben Farmer	- Not Present
Timothy Mackey	- Not Present
Lacy Manigault	- Aye
Murray Marshall	- Nay
Tanya Milton	- Nay
Susan Myers	- Aye
James Overton	- Aye
Adam Ragsdale	- Aye
Joseph Welch	- Aye

Board Action:

For staff to separate assembly hall use from reception or banquet hall use. Closely define those-FAIL uses. Report on October 8, 2013 MPC meeting.

Vote Results

Motion: James Blackburn Jr. Second: Murray Marshall

Russ Abolt - Not Present James Blackburn Jr. - Aye

Shedrick Coleman - Not Present

Ellis Cook - Nay Stephanie Cutter - Aye

Ben Farmer - Not Present
Timothy Mackey - Not Present

Lacy Manigault- AyeMurray Marshall- AyeTanya Milton- AyeSusan Myers- NayJames Overton- NayAdam Ragsdale- NayJoseph Welch- Nay

X. REGULAR BUSINESS

General Development Plan

11. General Development Plan - Landmark Acute Care Facility - 800 E. 68th Street

Attachment: Staff Report.pdf

Attachment: General Development Plan.pdf

Attachment: Maps.pdf

Attachment: **Building Elevation.pdf**

General Development Plan Landmark Acute Care Facility 800 E. 68th Street

PIN 2-0114-09-002 Acreage: 1.54 Acres Aldermanic District: 4

County Commission District: 1 MPC File Number 13-004105-PLAN Engineer: Coleman Company, Inc.

Agent: Michael Letson Owner: Medistar Corporation

Mr. Gary Plumbley, MPC Project Planner, presented the petitioner's request for consideration of a General Development Plan for a proposed long term acute care facility to be developed at 800 East 68th Street within a B-G zoning district. The facility will include a three-story structure with a gross area of 47,880 square feet. There will be ample off-site parking. The traffic analysis yields traffic to below the project peak hour traffic number.

The petitioner is also requesting approval of a 7.6 foot building setback variance from the required 15 foot along East 67th Street for the purpose of constructing a dumpster pad enclosure.

The MPC staff recommends **approval** of the requested 7.6 foot building setback variance and General Development Plan based on findings detailed in the staff report.

Mr. Harold Yellin, representative of the petitioner, stated the facility will have at least 60 beds. He explained that the need of parking exceeds the required code parking.

Mr. Donald Cumer, neighboring business resident, stated they are not opposed to the hospital. There are concerns regarding access to their parking lots; one of them requires backing into the street from their parking lot. They have safety concerns.

Mr. Ragsdale stated these are general plans; Engineering/Development Services safety.

Mr. Plumbley stated he spoke with Traffic Engineering; they stated they believe there is no safety concern; the concern is from the other businesses backing into the street from their lots, not from the petitioner's. The petitioner is in compliance with parking regulations.

Board Action:

The MPC staff recommends **approval** of the requested 7.6 foot building setback variance and General Development Plan based on findings

detailed in the staff report.

- PASS

Vote Results

Motion: Susan Myers Second: Joseph Welch

Russ Abolt - Not Present

James Blackburn Jr. - Aye

Shedrick Coleman - Not Present

Ellis Cook - Aye Stephanie Cutter - Aye

Ben Farmer - Not Present
Timothy Mackey - Not Present

Lacy Manigault- AyeMurray Marshall- AyeTanya Milton- AyeSusan Myers- AyeJames Overton- AyeAdam Ragsdale- AyeJoseph Welch- Aye

Sketch Plan

12. Derrick Landing - Major Subdivision - 535 Derrick Inn Road

Attachment: Maps.pdf

Attachment: <u>Subdivision Plat.pdf</u>
Attachment: <u>Staff Report.pdf</u>

Sketch Plan - Major Subdivision

535 Derrick Inn Road PIN: 1-0991-07-014

Acreage: 15.5 Acres - 61 Lots County Commission District: 7 MPC File Number: S-130826-00073-1 Surveyor: Coleman Company, Inc.

Owner: Christ's Community Church/Savannah Agent/Developer: Konter Development Company

Mr. Gary Plumbley, MPC Project Planner, presented the petitioner's request for consideration of a Sketch Plan for a proposed Major Subdivision located at 535 Derrick Inn Road. The proposed subdivision will be 15.5 acres in size and will consist of 61 conventional single family detached residential lots.

Staff recommends **approval** of the proposed Sketch Plan and Major Subdivision.

Mr. Jerry Konter, petitioner, stated they purposely came up with a land plan that would not require variances.

Ms. Harriett Singleton, representing neighboring family residents, stated her aunts have concerns with the amount of houses planned to be built and flooding. They believe the excess housing will contribute to additional flooding and traffic. They are opposed to the project.

Mr. Blackburn, Jr. recused himself because he represents the aunts, Laura Derrick-Webb and Sarah Derrick-Webb.

Mr. Howard Spiva, an attorney, stated he and his client are not in opposition to the project.

Mr. Konter stated no portion of the property is below 15 feet above sea level and the flood hazard area is AE12. A flood amendment will be filed with LOMA.

Staff recommends approval of the proposed	- PASS
start recommends approved of the proposed	
Sketch Plan and Major Subdivision.	- TASS
Vote Results	
Motion: Murray Marshall	
Second: Ellis Cook	
Russ Abolt	- Not Present
James Blackburn Jr.	- Abstain
Shedrick Coleman	- Not Present
Ellis Cook	- Aye
Stephanie Cutter	- Aye
Ben Farmer	- Not Present
Timothy Mackey	- Not Present
Lacy Manigault	- Aye
Murray Marshall	- Aye
Tanya Milton	- Aye
Susan Myers	- Aye
James Overton	- Aye
Adam Ragsdale	- Aye
Joseph Welch	- Aye

Tower - New Facility/Concealed Freestanding

13. Vantage-AT&T Hall Park Tower | 1105 E. 57th Street | 13-002239-PLAN

Attachment: CityScape Report.pdf

Attachment: Balloon Test Photo Shoot.pdf

Attachment: WTF Report to MPC 13-002239-PLAN.pdf

The applicant is seeking approval to erect a 120-foot Wireless Telecommunications Facility ("WTF") with internally mounted antennae on a property at 1105 East 57th Street, behind a tire sales business on Waters Avenue.

That the MPC <u>approve</u> the development of a 120-foot concealed wireless telecommunications facility with the following conditions:

- 1) tower shall be marked and lit per WTF Ordinance section 8-3196 (6) (b);
- 2) all feed lines be contained within the spine of the support structure; and
- 3) all feed line ports shall be properly sealed to prevent access by wildlife.

And <u>approval</u> of the requested setback variance to permit a 20-foot fall zone using break-point technology in the tower design; and <u>approval</u> of a 35-foot height variance above the 85 feet permitted in the district; and <u>approval</u> of the requested reduction of the buffer variance from 15 feet with a six-foot fence to a seven-foot vegetative buffer with a 10-foot fence.

The height of the trees necessitate the 120-foot height tower; a balloon test was performed and visibility was minimal except at 56th Street. The petitioner has proven the need for the tower in the area and third-party review of the technical review of the proposal was found appropriate.

Mr. Ragsdale stated the MPC has the ability to make decisions in favor or opposition of certain cell towers, but it must be in writing with substantial evidence.

Mr. Butler stated the variances could be denied, thus the petition. This is the first site applied for to provide the coverage needed for the area. There were concerns that the tower may increase drainage issues; however, it has been determined there will be no negative impact. The petitioner increased the required buffer and reduced the original requested height from 135 feet.

Mr. Manigault asked if the neighborhood had been met with.

Mr. Butler replied yes, on July 22, 2013. A presentation of the need and design was given.

Mr. Manigault asked who stated the need: the neighbors or the petitioner.

Mr. Butler stated AT&T determined there was a need for their customers.

Mr. Jonathan Yates, representative of the petitioner, stated the tower has to be above the tree height for them and three of their competitors on the tower.

Mr. Greg Knight, petitioner, highlighted other proposed sites to be used in conjunction with the petitioned tower for premier service: the WSAV tower and Savannah Arts Academy. There are no other structures in the area they can use; other towers cover different areas.

Mr. Terry Thomas, site procurer for AT&T, explained that some other areas were unattainabl;, the petitioned site was all that was available.

Mr. Marshall stated it sounds like an economic issue; not a good reason for variances.

Mr. Yates stated because of the area, relief from the ordinance is needed. It was the only property available and they are trying to make it work. Nothing is being requested that is not already in existence in the city.

Mr. Blackburn, Jr. asked is there no property in the search radius where the requirements could be met without variances.

Mr. Yates replied no. Mr. Thomas searched for two years and there was no property that met all the requirements. They want their customers to be able to use their phone wherever and whenever.

Mr. David Walker, network engineer for AT&T, stated they are trying to keep up with the demand. There are four additional towers planned and they are a competitive company.

Mr. Marshall asked can a tower go through a building.

Mr. Yates stated buildings have been built around towers.

Mr. Butler highlighted various types of concealed cell towers.

Mr. Kent Wilson, area resident, stated his wife has a daycare next to the petitioned site. He stated he has concerns about the breakpoint; if it breaks, they may be exposed to shrapnel. He is opposed to the tower.

Ms. Shirley Wilson, area resident, stated they and the parents are concerned about the tower. She stated direct answers were not given in the neighborhood meeting. She is opposed to the tower.

Mr. Geoffrey Pfaff, area resident, stated he could see the balloon during the test five blocks away. Because of the size of the property and the businesses in the area, the requested variances would place undo hardship on the neighborhood. There is available property on 56th Street. It is not fair for big companies to encroach on working class neighborhoods.

Ms. Rosa Davis, representative of the neighborhood association, stated the neighborhood does not want the tower in their area because of the health concerns, while the tower benefits other neighborhoods such as Ardsley Park,

not the neighborhood in which it is proposed to be located. Their concerns were not addressed by AT&T at the neighborhood meeting. The location is between two two-story buildings and the property value will decrease with the tower in the neighborhood. The neighborhood is striving to maintain beautification standards, the tower works against the neighborhood goal.

Mr. Jimmie Mitchell, area business owner, stated he is opposed to the tower. It only conveniences the rich, but not the middle and lower classes. The property across the street has been available for the past eight years.

Ms. Davis stated there is a petition with 200 signatures in opposition to the tower.

Mr. Butler stated a decision on this petition cannot be based on the factor of electromagnetic radiation. It is governed by the SCC.

Mr. Yates apologized for not being a good neighbor to the neighborhood. The anticipated problems do not occur; towers increase the neighborhood value by 6%. Traffic, noise, or odors are not produced. Cell towers is the most regulated industry in the country. This site has been determined as being the best available.

Mr. Marshall asked about the height of the tower in reference to the balloon testing. From some vantage points, the tower is quite high. He suggested concrete information be submitted as to the tree height because of the height limitation in the neighborhood.

Mr. Thomson stated five collocations for antennae are required for a tower, which require 10 feet each. Whatever the tree level, 50 feet would have to added for all antennae to be above tree level.

Mr. Marshall stated the best variance for community need to be determined. The height of the trees need to be determined to consider granting that particular variance; a lower variance request may be acceptable for the need.

Mr. Ragsdale asked if this tower could be built without a height variance with one location on the tower.

Mr. Knight replied he doesn't believe so. It will require analysis of the other three locations in the area because there will be those that will not receive service. Without a certain a height, it will yield chaos.

Mr. Blackburn, Jr. asked if the location could be moved.

Mr. Knight stated property to the north was not found and any other direction causes coverage conflict. The desired property location is not attainable.

Board Action:

CONTINUE - bring specific evidence of height of tree information to demonstrate need for height - PASS variance.

variance

Vote Results

Motion: Murray Marshall Second: James Blackburn Jr.

Russ Abolt - Not Present James Blackburn Jr. - Nay

Shedrick Coleman - Not Present
Ellis Cook - Not Present
Stephanie Cutter - Aye

Ben Farmer - Not Present
Timothy Mackey - Not Present

Lacy Manigault- AyeMurray Marshall- AyeTanya Milton- Aye

Susan Myers - Not Present

James Overton - Aye Adam Ragsdale - Aye Joseph Welch - Aye

XI. NEW ZONING ORDINANCES (NewZO)

XII. OTHER BUSINESS

XIII. ADJOURNMENT

14. Adjournment of September 17, 2013 Regular MPC Meeting

XIV. DEVELOPMENT PLANS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW

15. <u>Development Plans Submitted for Review</u>

Attachment: Development Review Log SEPTEMBER 17.pdf

The Chatham County - Savannah Metropolitan Planning Commission provides meeting summary minutes which are adopted by the respective Board. Verbatim transcripts of minutes are the responsibility of the interested party.