

SAVANNAH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

ARTHUR A. MENDONSA HEARING ROOM

112 EAST STATE STREET

July 22, 2008

2:30 P.M.

REGULAR MEETING

MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT:

**James Byrne, Chairman
Stephanie Bock
John P. Jones
Paul Robinson**

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Timothy Mackey, Vice-Chairman

MPC STAFF PRESENT:

**Jack Butler, Assistant Secretary
Jessica Mayfield, Administrative Assistant**

RE: Called to Order

Mr. Byrne called the July 22, 2008 meeting of the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals to order at 2:34 p.m.

RE: MINUTES

1. Approval of SZBA Minutes June 24, 2008.

SZBA Action: Mr. Jones made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals approve the minutes of June 24, 2008 as submitted. Ms. Bock seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

RE: Consent Agenda

RE: Regular Agenda

**RE: Petition of Richard F. Dodd
B-080428-38607-2
103 East Back Street**

SZBA Action: Mr. Jones made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals continue the petition until the next regularly scheduled meeting August 26, 2008. Ms. Bock seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Larry Gordon, For
Solomon Temple
B-080428-40408-2
0 Alexander Street**

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals move the above petition to the end of the agenda due to petitioners tardiness. Mr. Robinson seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

Present for the petition was Mr. Larry Gordon.

Mr. Butler gave the following Staff Report.

The petitioner is requesting approval of an 11-foot side yard setback from the 20-foot required side yard setback; a 9-foot front yard setback from the 50-foot (from center of Right of Way) required front yard setback and a variance to permit an existing parking structure (carport) as a principal use in an R-4 district.

Findings

1. The minimum lot size in an R-4 district is 3,600 square feet. The subject property is an irregularly shaped wedge of 3,484 square feet.
2. The applicant constructed a parking shelter on the property without obtaining a building permit. The property is used as a remote parking location for the church on the lot across Alexander Street.
3. Parking is not a permitted primary use in the R-4 zoning district.
4. Approval of the use of the lot for occasional remote parking would allow the covering of a portion of the property with a parking shelter, without approving the lot as a “parking facility.
5. The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance in an individual case upon a finding that:
 - a. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape, or topography.**

The subject parcel is undersized and of unusual shape in the district.

- b. **The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would create an unnecessary hardship.**

The application of this chapter to this particular district would force the removal of an existing structure and use.

c. Such conditions are peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.

Such conditions are not particular to the particular piece of property.

d. Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good, or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

Relief, if granted, could cause substantial detriment to the public good.

Summary Of Findings

All of the necessary conditions for approval of the requested variances appear not to be met.

Ms. Oglesby stated that her only concern was the view that the van would block.

Mr. Scott, City Zoning Administrator, stated that he felt the view should be fine. He said they could also get Traffic Engineering to come out and check it.

Mr. Dunham stated he also was concern with the appearance and the view.

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals approve the petition as submitted. Mr. Robinson seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-1. Opposed to the motion was Mr. Jones.

**RE: Petition of Larry Gordon, For
Solomon Temple
B-080527-43074-2
2005 Augusta Avenue**

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals move the above petition to the end of the agenda due to petitioners tardiness. Mr. Robinson seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

Present for the petition was Mr. Larry Gordon.

Mr. Butler gave the following Staff Report.

The petitioner is requesting approval of a use (church dining hall) which must be approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Findings

1. The subject property is located at 2005 Augusta Avenue and is zoned R-4 (Four-Family

Residential).

2. The applicant is seeking to create a “dining hall” as an adjunct to the existing church on the property. The dining hall may function as a restaurant to the general community.
3. The proposed dining hall would be approximately 6,500 square feet in size and would be constructed as an addition to the church sanctuary.
4. As a substantial expansion of the church activity, the request requires Board approval.
5. The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance in an individual case upon a finding that:

- a. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape, or topography.**

There are no extraordinary conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property.

- b. **The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would create an unnecessary hardship.**

The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would not create an unnecessary hardship.

- c. **Such conditions are peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.**

Such conditions are not peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.

- d. **Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good, or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.**

Relief, if granted could cause substantial detriment to the public good, or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

Summary Of Findings

All of the conditions necessary for the granting of the applicant’s request appear not to be met.

Mr. Gordon stated that the dining hall would be use for several different reasons like tutorial for the children and a fellow ship hall.

Mr. Byrne asked would the dining hall be used for eating or as a large meeting room?

Mr. Gordon stated that it would be used for both eating and meeting room.

Mr. Byrne asked will there be a kitchen added in the dining hall?

Mr. Gordon stated that there is already a kitchen in the church and that there will not be another added nor will it be a restaurant.

Ms. Oglesby stated that her concern was that the dining hall will be turned into a restaurant.

Mr. Gordon stated that the dining hall would not be turned into a restaurant.

Ms. Bock asked if the addition would take up required parking and if a parking variance would be needed?

Mr. Scott stated no, because he was not expanding the sanctuary.

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals **approve** the petition as submitted with the condition that the dining hall not be used as a restaurant. Mr. Robinson seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-1. Opposed to the motion was Mr. Jones.

**RE: Petition of Dexter M. Treadwell
B-080523-36585-2
121 Fair Street**

Petition was continued per petitioner's request.

SZBA Action: Mr. Robinson made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals **continue** this petition until the next regularly scheduled meeting August 26, 2008. Mr. Jones seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Michael Fields
B-080527-42119-2
2103 Augusta Avenue**

Petition was continued per petitioner's request.

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals **continue** this petition until the next regularly scheduled meeting August 26, 2008. Mr. Jones seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Clay Branson
B-080620-30517-2
110 East Duffy Street**

Present for the petition was Clay Branson.

Mr. Butler gave the following Staff Report.

The petitioner is requesting approval of a 3-foot side-yard setback variance in order to site a carriage house within two feet of a side property line. The subject property is located at 110 East Duffy Street. The property is zoned 2-R in the Victorian zoning district.

Findings

1. The subject property is a vacant conforming lot of record, being 3,200 square feet in area and 30 feet in width.
2. There is a parking easement granting the adjoining (eastern) neighboring property use of an eight-foot wide parking area on the subject property.
3. The 2-R Victorian District requires that detached structures maintain a five foot side setback.
4. The applicant seeks to construct a 20-foot wide carriage house on the 30-foot wide property.
5. The proposed house and carriage house designs have received a certificate of compatibility from the MPC.
6. In order to preserve both the parking easement and the current design of the carriage house, the proposed structure must shift to the west by three feet, encroaching on the side setback on the west side of the property by three feet (to within two feet of the property line).
7. The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance in an individual case upon a finding that:
 - a. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape, or topography.**

There are no extraordinary or exception conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property.
 - b. **The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would create an unnecessary hardship.**

The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would not create an unnecessary hardship.
 - c. **Such conditions are peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.**

The existence of a parking easement on the rear of the property is peculiar to the

particular piece of property in question.

- d. **Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good, or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.**

Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

Summary Of Findings

All of the conditions necessary for the approval of the requested variance appear not to be met.

Mr. Jones asked how would the petitioner do repairs to the carriage house with the other structure being so close?

Mr. Branson stated that they would have at least 5 feet in between the structure and the adjacent property.

Mr. Jones stated he felt the petitioner would still have to go on the adjacent property to do any repairs on the carriage house.

Mr. Branson stated that without permission from the owner of the adjacent property to access their property it would be difficult to do repairs on the carriage house.

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals deny the petition as submitted. Mr. Jones seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Paul Bush, For
Mr. & Mrs. Jansse Chisholm
B-080620-30626-2
2100 South Fernwood Drive**

Present for the petition was Paul Bush.

Mr. Butler gave the following Staff Report.

The petitioner is requesting approval of a 12-foot rear-yard setback variance to permit the construction of an addition to an existing single-family residence to within 13 feet of the rear property line. The subject property is located at 2100 South Fernwood Drive. The property is zoned R-6 (Single-family Residential).

Findings

1. The petitioner is proposing to construct additions onto a single-family residence on an existing 11,761 square foot property in an R-6 (single-family residential) zoning district. As proposed, the additions will extend the house to within 2.5 feet of the rear property

line.

2. There is an existing carport to the rear of the structure that sits within 2.5 feet of the rear property line. The proposed additions would encompass and attach the carport into the main house.
3. The property is an irregular trapezoid with a depth varying from 70 to 88 feet.
4. The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance in an individual case upon a finding that:
 - a. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape, or topography.**

The subject property is irregular in shape.

- b. **The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would create an unnecessary hardship.**

The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would not create an unnecessary hardship.

- c. **Such conditions are peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.**

The conditions are not peculiar to this particular piece of property.

- d. **Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good, or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.**

Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes or intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

Summary Of Findings

All of the conditions necessary for approving the variances requested appear not to be met.

Ms. Bock asked if the carport was going to be enclosed?

Mr. Bush stated no, that any overhang will be removed.

Mr. Robinson asked if the carport would be removed and then reattached?

Mr. Bush stated no. He said the overhang of the existing structure touched the carport roof line and the petitioner is requesting that it be removed.

SZBA Action: Mr. Jones made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals approve the petition as submitted. Ms. Bock seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Ethel Scott
B-080623-28746-2
205 West 58th Street**

Present for the petition was Ethel Scott.

Mr. Butler gave the following Staff Report.

The petitioner is requesting approval of a 3-foot rear yard setback variance to allow for the issuance of a building permit on an existing building extension. The subject property is located at 204 West 58th Street. The property is zoned R-4 (Four-family Residential).

Findings

1. The applicant built an extension of indeterminate size onto an existing residence at 204 West 58th Street.
2. The applicant operates a child day care center from the residence. No records of the ZBA approving the child care center can be found.
3. The building extension encroaches on the rear yard setback by an indeterminate amount.
4. The applicant is requesting a 3-foot rear yard setback variance to make an after-the-fact building permit possible.
5. The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance in an individual case upon a finding that:
 - a. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape, or topography.**

The R-6 zoning district requires a minimum lot size of 6,000 square feet. The existing lot of record is 3,149 square feet.

- b. **The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would create an unnecessary hardship.**

The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would restrict the size of structure that could be built on this site. The proposed dwelling is under 1,200 square feet in size.

- c. **Conditions are peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.**

The conditions are not particular to this particular piece of property.

- d. **Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good, or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.**

Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purpose or intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

Summary Of Findings

All of the conditions necessary for approving the variances requested appear not to be met.

Mr. Jones asked if a record of an application for the building permit was found?

Mr. Butler stated no, and there was no record of an application on this property what so ever.

Mr. Scott, City Zoning Administrator, stated that this case is based on a complaint that there was an addition added to the structure and a small amount of the structure is encroaching in the rear yard setback.

Mr. Smith stated that he was in opposition to the petition. He said a few years ago he had to comply with the zoning code on his fence and now Ms. Scott has had many remodels to her home without any permits. He said he felt it was not fair that he had to comply and she did not.

Mr. Parker stated that Ms. Scott added a porch. He said the roof comes over her fence so it left no space to get in between. He said she would like to have 5 foot between the houses.

SZBA Action: Mr. Robinson made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals deny the petition as submitted. Mr. Jones seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Blake Elsinghorst
B-080623-30070-2
205 East 37th Street**

Present for the petition was Blake Elsinghorst.

Mr. Butler gave the following Staff Report.

The petitioner is requesting approval of a use under the provisions of Mid-City Zoning (article K) section 5.8.2 for permission to serve wine with meals, which requires Board of Zoning Appeals approval. The subject property is located at 205 East 37th Street. The property is zoned TC-1 (Traditional Commercial).

Findings

- 1. Alcohol sales in restaurants is allowed within the TC-1 zoning district subject to approval

by the Zoning Board of Appeals. The request for permission to establish a use must follow the procedures outlined in Section 8-3163 of the Ordinance.

2. A commercial structure is currently located on the parcel. According to the petitioner's submittal, the building houses a restaurant. The petitioner is seeking to serve wine with meals. Parking is to be provided on the parcel.
3. In accordance with Section 8-3163 of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance, the Board of Appeals shall hear and decide upon requests for permission to establish uses upon which the Board of Appeals is required to pass under the terms of this chapter. The application to establish such use shall be approved on a finding by the Board of Appeals that:

- a. **The proposed use does not affect adversely the general plans for the physical development of the city, as embodied in this chapter, and in any master plan or portion thereof adopted by the mayor and aldermen.**

Approval from the Board of Appeals is required, however the proposed use is consistent with the traditional commercial land use designation of the City's Tricentennial Comprehensive Plan.

- b. **The proposed use will not be contrary to the purposes stated for this chapter.**

The proposed use is not contrary to the purposes stated in Article H of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

- c. **The proposed use will not affect adversely the health and safety of residents and workers in the city.**

Though not expected to adversely affect the health and safety of area residents, the petitioner must remain vigilant in the control of patrons both inside and outside the establishment.

- d. **The proposed use will not be detrimental to the use or development of adjacent properties or the general neighborhood.**

With proper monitoring, the proposed use is not anticipated to pose a detriment to the use or development of adjacent properties or the general neighborhood. However, if not properly monitored, the proposed use could constitute a nuisance.

- e. **The proposed use will not be affected adversely by the existing uses.**

The proposed use will not be adversely affected by uses currently existing.

- f. **The proposed use will be placed on a lot of sufficient size to satisfy the space requirements of such use.**

The petitioner intends to add a use (wine sales) to an existing restaurant for the improvement of service.

- g. The proposed use will not constitute a nuisance or hazard because of the number of persons who will attend or use such facility, vehicular movement, noise or fume generation or type of physical activity.**

As noted in 3 (above), the petitioner or operator of the restaurant will have to be vigilant in enforcing rules and regulations regarding assembly, loitering, cruising, etc. In and of itself, the proposed use is not a hazard, but care will be required to assure conformance to the noise ordinance as well as other potential neighborhood nuisances.

- h. The standards set forth for each particular use for which a permit may be granted have been met.**

The parcel is of sufficient size to accommodate the proposed use.

Summary Of Findings

All of the requirements necessary to the approval of the request appear not to be met.

Ms. Bock asked if the approval of the use for the entire lot or just the building?

Mr. Butler stated the entire lot.

Mr. Elsinghorst stated wine has been requested by most of his customers and he thought it would be a great idea to serve wine with lunch.

Mr. Byrne asked will the business hours change?

Mr. Elsinghorst stated no.

Mr. Robinson asked if permission could be granted for the site of the business and opposed to the whole property?

Mr. Scott, City Development Services, stated that the approval could be amended.

Ms. Bock asked if the two building have the same address and pins?

Mr. Elsinghorst stated they have the same pin but different addresses.

Ms. Latham stated that her only concern was that the hours are no later than 10 P.M. and that the use only be approved for that business.

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals approve the petition as submitted provided that the use shall be limited to the hours of 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. Mr. Robinson seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Howard Reid
B-080623-39938-2
502 East 35th Street**

Present for the petition was Howard Reid.

Mr. Butler gave the following Staff Report.

The petitioner is requesting approval of a 10-foot side yard setback variance in order to construct a single-family house within five feet of Price Street on a corner lot. The subject property is located at 502 East 35th Street. The property is zoned RM-25 (Multifamily Residential, 25 du/ac).

Findings

1. The petitioner is proposing to construct a detached single-family residence on a vacant 3,000 square foot property in an RM-25 zoning district. As proposed, the structure will be placed 9.5 feet from the front property line [a staircase which will be within 3.5 feet of the property line] and 5 feet from the street side property line.
2. Ordinance Section 3057 requires that structures be located at least 15 feet from a side property line.
3. The proposed alignment of the structure will be similar to the alignment on an adjoining property.
4. The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance in an individual case upon a finding that:
 - a. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape, or topography.**

The RM-25 zoning district requires a minimum lot size of 6,000 square feet. The existing lot of record is approximately 3,000 square feet.

- b. **The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would create an unnecessary hardship.**

The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would restrict the size of structure that could be built on this site. The proposed dwelling is 20-

feet in width. The ordinance setback would require a structure no more than 10 feet in the width.

c. Such conditions are peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.

The conditions are not peculiar to this particular piece of property.

d. Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good, or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes or intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

Summary Of Findings

All of the conditions necessary for approving the variances requested appear not to be met.

Mr. Bock asked what street will the front door face?

Mr. Reid stated 35th Street.

Ms. Futch stated she felt if Mr. Reid built the house like he wanted to then that would leave no space between the houses.

Ms. Downy stated that she is in opposition to the petition. She said she felt the house was too close to the road and would be a hazard to the community.

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals approve the petition as submitted. Mr. Robinson seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Virginia Brown, For
Coastal Empire Habitat for Humanity
B-080623-40007-2
0 Clinch Street**

Present for the petition was Virginia Brown.

Mr. Butler gave the following Staff Report.

The petitioner is requesting approval of a 7 foot front-yard setback variance, a 30-foot lot width variance and a 2,903-foot lot area variance in order to construct a single-family residence. The subject property is located at 0 Clinch Street near Stark Street. The property is zoned R-6 (Single-Family Residential).

Findings

1. The petitioner is proposing to construct a detached single-family residence on a vacant 3,000 square foot property in an R-6 zoning district. As proposed, the structure will be placed 18 feet from the front property line [a staircase which will be within 14 feet of the property line] and 17 feet from the rear property line. The proposed structure will exceed the allowable lot coverage by approximately 8 percent.
2. Ordinance regulations allow lot coverage of up to 30 percent in the R-6 district and require that accessory structures be located not less than 25 feet from front and rear property lines.
3. The proposed alignment of the structure will be similar to the alignment on an adjoining property.
4. The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance in an individual case upon a finding that:
 - a. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape, or topography.**

The R-6 zoning district requires a minimum lot size of 6,000 square feet. The existing lot of record is 3,149 square feet.

- b. **The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would create an unnecessary hardship.**

The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would restrict the size of structure that could be built on this site. The proposed dwelling is under 1,200 square feet in size

- c. **Such conditions are peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.**

The conditions are not particular to this particular piece of property.

- d. **Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good, or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.**

Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes or intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

Summary Of Findings

All of the conditions necessary for approving the variances requested appear not to be met.

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals **approve** the petition as submitted. Mr. Jones seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Diana Lynn Rogers
B-080623-40151-2
2418-2424 Bull Street**

Petition was continued for incorrect sign posting.

SZBA Action: Ms. Bock made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals **continue** the petition until the next regularly scheduled meeting August 26, 2008. Mr. Robinson seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

**RE: Petition of Virginia Brown, For
Coastal Empire Habitat for Humanity
B-080623-40295-2
0 Lamar Avenue**

Present for the petition was Virginia Brown.

Mr. Butler gave the following Staff Report.

The petitioner is requesting approval of 13.24 rear yard setback variance, a front yard variance of 7 feet on Dixon Street, a 20-foot lot width variance and a 2006-square foot lot area variance in order to construct a single-family home. The subject property is located at 0 Lamar Avenue (southwest corner of the intersection of Lamar Avenue and Dixon Street). The property is zoned R-4 (Four-family Residential).

Findings

1. The subject property is a 3,994 square foot, 40-foot wide nonconforming lot of record on the corner of Dixon and Lamar streets.
2. The minimum lot area in an R-4 zoning district is 6,000 square feet with a minimum lot width of 60 feet.
3. The minimum set back from a street for a side yard is 15 feet.
4. The minimum front yard setback in an R-4 district on a residential street is 50-feet from the center of the right-of-way (Dixon Street is a 50-foot right of way, making the front setback 25-feet from the property line).
5. The minimum rear setback is 25 feet in an R-4 district. The proposed setback is 11.76 feet from a city lane.

6. The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance in an individual case upon a finding that:

- a. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape, or topography.**

The subject property is undersized and narrower than required for the zoning district.

- b. **The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would create an unnecessary hardship.**

The application of this chapter to this particular piece of property would not create an unnecessary hardship.

- c. **Such conditions are peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.**

Such conditions are not peculiar to the particular piece of property involved.

- d. **Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good, or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.**

Relief, if granted, would not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes and intent of the Savannah Zoning Ordinance.

Summary Of Findings

All of the conditions necessary for approval of the request appear not to be met.

SZBA Action: Mr. Jones made a motion that the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals approve the petition as submitted. Ms. Bock seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed.

RE: Other Business

RE: Adjournment

There being no further business to come before the Savannah Zoning Board of Appeals the meeting was adjourned approximately 4:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jack Butler,
Secretary

JB/jm